

From Reference to Routine: Operationalizing ST79 in Sterile Processing

BY SARAH B. CRUZ

In sterile processing (SP), standards are not academic references. They exist to control risk, guide decisions, and protect patients when processes are stressed, questioned, or challenged. Among them, the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation Standard ST79 remains the most influential document governing steam sterilization practices. It shapes how SP departments design workflows, validate equipment, configure loads, monitor cycles, store products, and determine whether an item is safe to release for patient use.

Yet in many facilities, ST79 continues to function primarily as a defensive document. It is pulled during surveys, cited after findings, and referenced when something goes wrong. When standards are used this way, they lose their value as operational tools. Practice becomes habit-driven, policy drifts away from reality, and risk accumulates quietly until it is exposed under pressure.

Effective education reframes ST79 as a risk-control and decision-making tool, not a survey weapon. When applied intentionally, it reframes standards as operational protection. It elevates SP from compliance support to clinical partner and gives educators and leaders permission to teach standards proactively, not apologetically.

Indicators that Your ST79 is Operational:

- The standard guides decisions before outcomes are determined
- Practice changes are checked against requirements
- Variation prompts review, not acceptance
- Escalation is expectation-based, not role-based
- ST79 informs discussions outside of SP departments

the real decisions technicians make on the floor. Staff may know the standard exists but are not taught how to use it when parameters drift, loads fail, or workflow shortcuts present themselves.

As a result, practice is guided by routine rather than requirements. Escalation depends on who is present rather than what is expected. The standard exists, but it does not actively shape behavior.

When ST79 Is Used Well

Departments that integrate ST79 into daily operations look different. The standard is visible in decision-making, not hidden behind policy language. Policies align clearly with requirements. Leaders reference expectations when discussing equipment limitations, staffing needs, and workflow redesign.

Learning Objectives

1. Explain how ST79 functions as a risk-control and decision-making tool in Sterile Processing operations.
2. Recognize barriers and oversights that limit effective use of ST79 in daily practice.
3. Implement strategies to integrate ST79 into education, SOPs, and perioperative collaboration.

Sponsored by:



How We've Always Taught ST79

Most facilities introduce ST79 in predictable ways. New technicians receive an overview during orientation. Annual competencies reference selected requirements. Educators incorporate language from the standard when updating policies or preparing for accreditation. Vendor education may supplement training when new sterilizers or accessories are introduced.

These efforts are not ineffective, but they are incomplete. ST79 is often taught as background knowledge rather than as an operational expectation. Education is delivered in large segments, disconnected from

In these environments, ST79 functions as infrastructure. It supports capital planning, validates staffing discussions, and provides clarity during process failures. Technicians are not expected to memorize clauses, but they understand the purpose behind requirements. They recognize when conditions no longer support safe sterilization and feel empowered to escalate concerns.

This is where ST79 stops being defensive and becomes operational. It strengthens professional judgment and reinforces the role of SP as a clinical partner invested in patient safety.

Why Education Falls Short

The most common failure in ST79 education is relevance. Standards are presented as rules to follow rather than safeguards designed to prevent harm. Technicians are told what to do without understanding why deviation matters.

Timing is another issue. Orientation and annual education alone cannot sustain effective practice in a department where conditions change daily. Equipment ages, case mix evolves, and workload fluctuates. Education that does not keep pace with reality loses credibility.

There is also reluctance to challenge long-standing practices. When requirements conflict with how work has always been done, education becomes uncomfortable. Without leadership support, these gaps remain unaddressed, and risk persists.

Barriers to Operational Use

Production pressure is real. SP departments are measured by output, and education is often deprioritized. When time is limited, standard education becomes reactive.

Ownership also matters. When ST79 is framed as something leadership uses during surveys, technicians disengage. The standard feels punitive rather than protective.

Finally, ST79 is comprehensive and written for a broad audience. Without interpretation and translation into workflow-specific expectations, it can feel inaccessible. Distribution alone does not drive application.

Oversights That Undermine Practice

One of the most damaging oversights is using ST79 only after something goes wrong. When the standard appears only in response to failure, staff associate it with blame rather than prevention.

Another oversight is failing to link ST79 directly to standard operating procedures (SOPs). Policies may cite the standard, but technicians are rarely shown how requirements connect to their tasks. This weakens consistency and accountability.

Facilities also frequently fail to define clear stop-and-escalate expectations. Technicians may recognize unsafe conditions but lack explicit authority to pause a process. Without defined escalation pathways, deviation becomes normalized.

Making ST79 Operational

Turning ST79 into a living standard requires integration. Requirements must be embedded into SOPs, work instructions, and competency assessments. When staff see how expectations map directly to their work, compliance becomes intentional. Education should follow workflow, not chapter order. Teaching requirements in the context of decontamination, assembly, sterilization, and storage allows immediate application. Short, focused learning tied to real scenarios is more effective than broad overviews.

Clear escalation criteria are essential. Technicians must know when to stop, what constitutes deviation, and who to notify. This removes ambiguity and protects patients. Leadership behavior reinforces this shift. Referencing ST79 during daily

Lesson:

From Reference to Routine: Operationalizing ST79 in Sterile Processing

April 2026

This lesson was developed by Solventum. Lessons are administered by Endeavor Business Media.

Earn CEUs

After careful study of the lesson, complete the examination online at educationhub.hpnonline.com. You must have a passing score of 80% or higher to receive a certificate of completion.

Certification

The **Certification Board for Sterile Processing and Distribution** has pre-approved this in-service unit for one (1) contact hour for a period of five (5) years from the date of original publication. Successful completion of the lesson and post-test must be documented by facility management and those records maintained by the individual until recertification is required. **DO NOT SEND LESSON OR TEST TO CBSPD.** www.cbspd.net.

Healthcare Sterile Processing



Association, myhspa.org, has pre-approved this in-service for 1.0 Continuing Education Credits for a period of three years, until March 31, 2028.

For more information, direct any questions to *Healthcare Purchasing News* editor@hpnonline.com.

Quiz Answers:

1. A, 2. B, 3. A, 4. A, 5. B, 6. B, 7. C, 8. A, 9. C, 10. B

discussions signals that the standard is an operational expectation. It gives educators permission to teach standards proactively, not apologetically.

Extending ST79 Beyond Sterile Processing

Although often labeled an SP department standard, ST79 affects the entire perioperative environment. Operating room practices influence contamination risk. Case scheduling affects sterilizer capacity. Instrument handling decisions shape reprocessing outcomes. SP professionals are positioned to lead these conversations. Joint education with perioperative partners clarifies shared responsibility and reduces friction. Using ST79 as a common reference shifts discussions from opinion to evidence and strengthens collaboration.

A sustainable ST79 education model requires consistency. Orientation alone is not enough. Annual education alone is not enough. The standard must be reinforced through daily practice, competency validation, and performance improvement. Success is evident when technicians

can explain why requirements exist, identify risk when practice deviates, and escalate appropriately. When that happens, ST79 stops being something departments point to and starts being something they practice.

Conclusion

ST79 was not written to sit idle until it is needed for defense. It was written to guide practice, control risk, and support sound decision-making before outcomes are questioned. When it is treated only as a compliance reference, its value is limited and its intent is lost.

Teaching ST79 as an operational standard restores its intent. It reframes standards as protection. It strengthens professional judgment, clarifies when and how to escalate concerns, and aligns policy with real-world practice. Most importantly, it elevates SP from a compliance support role to a clinical partner within perioperative services. When ST79 is embedded into daily work, it becomes more than a document. It becomes a tool that actively shapes behavior and protects patients. **HPN**

From Reference to Routine: Operationalizing ST79 in Sterile Processing - Practice Quiz

- ST79 is intended to guide safe sterilization practice and risk control, not solely to support survey or audit responses.**
 True False
- Which approach best supports effective use of ST79 in Sterile Processing?**
 - Memorizing clauses
 - Applying requirements within real workflows
 - Reviewing annually only
 - Limiting access to leadership
- Should ST79 be considered during planning for workflow changes or equipment selection?**
 True False
- Using ST79 operationally helps elevate Sterile Processing from compliance support to clinical partner.**
 True False
- Which factor commonly limits effective ST79 education?**
 - Lack of standards
 - Time pressure and production demands
 - Excessive oversight
 - Over-training
- Should escalation decisions be driven primarily by job title or hierarchy?**
 True False
- Which action best supports making ST79 operational?**
 - Keeping it for surveys
 - Using it only after failures
 - Embedding it into SOPs and competencies
 - Assigning it to leadership only
- Using ST79 only after problems occur can associate the standard with blame rather than prevention.**
 True False
- Why should ST79 inform discussions beyond Sterile Processing?**
 - To reduce policies
 - To meet documentation needs
 - Because perioperative practices affect outcomes
 - Because it applies only to leaders
- Are orientation and annual education alone sufficient to sustain effective ST79 use?**
 True False



All CEU quizzes must be taken online at:
educationhub.hponline.com.
The cost to take the quiz is \$10.



HEALTHCARE
STERILE PROCESSING
ASSOCIATION

